# Real Analysis

Thomas R. Cameron

October 6, 2023

## 1 Daily Quiz

Show that the following sequence is not Cauchy:

 $s_n = 3n$ .

# 2 Key Topics

Today we prove that every real Cauchy sequence is convergent in R. For further reading, see [?, 2.4]. We begin with the following lemma which establishes that Cauchy sequences are bounded.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Lemma 2.1. Every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $s: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  be Cauchy and define  $\epsilon = 1$ . Then, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $n, m > N \Rightarrow$  $|s_n - s_m| < 1$ . Fix m as the smallest integer bigger than N. Then, we have

 $n > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < 1 \Rightarrow |s_n| < 1 + |s_m|$ .

Then, the following serves as an upper bound for  $s$ :

$$
M = \max\{|s_1|, \ldots, |s_m|, 1 + |s_m|\}.
$$

 $\Box$ 

Next, we prove our main result.

<span id="page-0-1"></span>Theorem 2.2. A real sequence is convergent if and only if it is Cauchy.

Proof. On October 4, we proved that every convergent sequence is Cauchy. Let  $s: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  be Cauchy and define its range to be

$$
R = \{ s_n \colon \ n \in \mathbb{N} \}.
$$

We break the remainder of this proof into two cases:  $R$  is finite and  $R$  is infinite.

If R is finite, then there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N(x; \epsilon) \cap R = \{x\}$  for all  $x \in R$ . Since s is Cauchy, there exists an N such that  $n, m > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \epsilon$ . Fix m as the smallest integer bigger than N. Then, we have

$$
n > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \epsilon \Rightarrow s_n = s_m.
$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s_m$ .

If R is infinite, then Lemma [2.1](#page-0-0) and the Bolzano-Weirstrass Theorem (see Review 1) implies that R has an accumulation point, which we denote by  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there is an  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$
n, m > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
$$

Since a is an accumulation point,  $N(a; \epsilon/2)$  contains infinitely many points of R. Hence, there is an  $m > N$ such that  $s_m \in N(a; \epsilon/2)$ . Then, for all  $n > N$ , we have

$$
|s_n - a| = |s_n - s_m + s_m - a|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |s_n - s_m| + |s_m - a|
$$
  
\n
$$
< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.
$$

3 Group Work

In class, we proved Theorem [2.2](#page-0-1) in 3 groups.

#### 3.1 Group 1

Prove that if R is finite, then s converges to an element of the sequence. Hint: There is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N(x; \epsilon) \cap R = \{x\}$  for all  $x \in R$ .

#### 3.2 Group 2

Prove that if R is infinite, then there is an accumulation point of R in  $\mathbb R$ . Hint: Reference review 1.

#### 3.3 Group 3

Prove that if R is infinite, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = a$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is an accumulation point of R.

Hint: You can assume that  $R$  has an accumulation point. Since  $a$  is an accumulation point, every neighborhood of  $a$  contains infinitely many points of  $R$ . Finally, note that

$$
|s_n - a| \le |s_n - s_m| + |s_m - a|.
$$

### 4 Exercises

I. Prove Lemma [2.1](#page-0-0)

II. Prove Theorem [2.2](#page-0-1)

 $\Box$