# Real Analysis

Thomas R. Cameron

October 6, 2023

## 1 Daily Quiz

Show that the following sequence is not Cauchy:

 $s_n = 3n.$ 

# 2 Key Topics

Today we prove that every real Cauchy sequence is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For further reading, see [?, 2.4]. We begin with the following lemma which establishes that Cauchy sequences are bounded.

Lemma 2.1. Every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $s: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  be Cauchy and define  $\epsilon = 1$ . Then, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $n, m > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < 1$ . Fix m as the smallest integer bigger than N. Then, we have

 $n > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < 1 \Rightarrow |s_n| < 1 + |s_m|.$ 

Then, the following serves as an upper bound for s:

$$M = \max\{|s_1|, \dots, |s_m|, 1 + |s_m|\}$$

Next, we prove our main result.

**Theorem 2.2.** A real sequence is convergent if and only if it is Cauchy.

*Proof.* On October 4, we proved that every convergent sequence is Cauchy. Let  $a: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{P}$  be Cauchy and define its range to be

Let  $s \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$  be Cauchy and define its range to be

$$R = \{s_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We break the remainder of this proof into two cases: R is finite and R is infinite.

If R is finite, then there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N(x;\epsilon) \cap R = \{x\}$  for all  $x \in R$ . Since s is Cauchy, there exists an N such that  $n, m > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \epsilon$ . Fix m as the smallest integer bigger than N. Then, we have

$$n > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \epsilon \Rightarrow s_n = s_m.$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s_m$ .

If R is infinite, then Lemma 2.1 and the Bolzano-Weirstrass Theorem (see Review 1) implies that R has an accumulation point, which we denote by  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there is an  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$n, m > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Since a is an accumulation point,  $N(a; \epsilon/2)$  contains infinitely many points of R. Hence, there is an m > N such that  $s_m \in N(a; \epsilon/2)$ . Then, for all n > N, we have

$$|s_n - a| = |s_n - s_m + s_m - a|$$
  
$$\leq |s_n - s_m| + |s_m - a|$$
  
$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

3 Group Work

In class, we proved Theorem 2.2 in 3 groups.

#### 3.1 Group 1

Prove that if R is finite, then s converges to an element of the sequence. Hint: There is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N(x; \epsilon) \cap R = \{x\}$  for all  $x \in R$ .

#### 3.2 Group 2

Prove that if R is infinite, then there is an accumulation point of R in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hint: Reference review 1.

#### 3.3 Group 3

Prove that if R is infinite, then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = a$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is an accumulation point of R.

Hint: You can assume that R has an accumulation point. Since a is an accumulation point, every neighborhood of a contains infinitely many points of R. Finally, note that

$$|s_n - a| \le |s_n - s_m| + |s_m - a|.$$

### 4 Exercises

I. Prove Lemma 2.1

II. Prove Theorem 2.2