

# Real Analysis

Thomas R. Cameron

October 9, 2023

## 1 Daily Quiz

Define a sequence that is

- monotone but not Cauchy,
- Cauchy but not monotone.

## 2 Key Topics

Today we complete our proof of the fact that all Cauchy sequences are convergent in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then, we introduce subsequences. For further reading, see [1, Sections 2.1.3 and 2.4].

**Theorem 2.1.** *A real sequence is convergent if and only if it is Cauchy.*

*Proof.* On October 4, we proved that every convergent sequence is Cauchy.

Let  $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Cauchy and define its range to be

$$R = \{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We break the remainder of this proof into two cases:  $R$  is finite and  $R$  is infinite.

If  $R$  is finite, then there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N(x; \epsilon) \cap R = \{x\}$  for all  $x \in R$ . Since  $s$  is Cauchy, there exists an  $N$  such that  $n, m > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \epsilon$ . Fix  $m$  as the smallest integer bigger than  $N$ . Then, we have

$$n > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \epsilon \Rightarrow s_n = s_m.$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s_m$ .

Suppose  $R$  is infinite. Since Cauchy sequences are bounded, the Bolzano-Weirstrass Theorem (see Review 1) implies that  $R$  has an accumulation point, which we denote by  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there is an  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$n, m > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Since  $a$  is an accumulation point,  $N(a; \epsilon/2)$  contains infinitely many points of  $R$ . Hence, there is an  $m > N$  such that  $s_m \in N(a; \epsilon/2)$ . Then, for all  $n > N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n - a| &= |s_n - s_m + s_m - a| \\ &\leq |s_n - s_m| + |s_m - a| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.1 Subsequences

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $n: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a strictly increasing sequence. Then, the composition  $s(n)$  is called a *subsequence* of  $s$ , we denote the terms of the subsequence by

$$s_{n_1}, s_{n_2}, \dots, s_{n_k}, \dots$$

*Example 2.3.* Let  $s_n = 1/n$ . Then, both

$$t_k = 1/(2k) \text{ and } u_k = 1/(2k - 1), k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

are subsequences of  $s$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convergent with limit  $L$ . Then, every subsequence of  $s$  also converges to  $L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a strictly increasing sequence:

$$n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < n_{k+1} < \dots$$

Then,  $n_k \geq k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there is a  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$n > N \Rightarrow |s_n - L| < \epsilon.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} k > N &\Rightarrow n_k \geq k > N \\ &\Rightarrow |s_{n_k} - L| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.5.** Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* Let  $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded sequence and let

$$R = \{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

denote the range of  $s$ .

If  $R$  is finite, then there is an  $x \in R$  such that  $s_n = x$  for infinitely many  $n$ . That is, there exists indices

$$n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$$

such that  $s_{n_k} = x$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $R$  is infinite, then the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem implies that  $R$  has an accumulation point, which we denote by  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are infinitely many sequence values in the neighborhood

$$A_k = (a - 1/k, a + 1/k).$$

Therefore, we can pick  $s_{n_1} \in A_1$ , and for  $k \geq 2$  choose  $s_{n_k} \in A_k$  with  $n_k > n_{k-1}$ . □

## 3 Exercises

- I. Prove Theorem 2.4.
- II. Prove Theorem 2.5.

## References

- [1] J. LEBL, *Basic Analysis: Introduction to Real Analysis*, Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike, 6th ed., 2023.