

# Real Analysis

Thomas R. Cameron

November 10, 2023

## 1 Daily Quiz

## 2 Key Topics

Today we introduce the the Upper and Lower Darboux Sums For further reading, see [1, Section 5.1].

### 2.1 Upper and Lower Darboux Sums

**Definition 2.1.** A *partition*  $P$  of  $[a, b]$  is a finite set  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  such that

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b.$$

If  $Q$  is a partition of  $[a, b]$  such that  $P \subseteq Q$ , we say that  $Q$  is a *refinement* of  $P$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Suppose that  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded. Then, the *upper Darboux sum* of  $f$  with respect to  $P$  is

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta x_i,$$

where  $M_i = \sup\{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}$  and  $\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$ . Similarly, the *lower Darboux sum* of  $f$  with respect to  $P$  is

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta x_i,$$

where  $m_i = \inf\{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}$ . Note that the existence of the infimum and supremum of the set  $\{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}$  is guaranteed by the completeness axiom since  $f$  is bounded.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose that  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded. If  $P$  and  $Q$  are partitions of  $P$ , and  $Q$  is a refinement of  $P$ , then*

$$L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q) \leq U(f, Q) \leq U(f, P).$$

*Proof.* Let  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$  and let  $P^* = P \cup \{x^*\}$ , where  $x^* \in [a, b] \setminus P$ . Then, there exists a  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $x_{k-1} < x^* < x_k$ . Now, define

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= \inf\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x^*]\}, \\ t_2 &= \inf\{f(x) : x \in [x^*, x_k]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $t_1 \geq m_k$  and  $t_2 \geq m_k$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P^*) - L(f, P) &= [t_1(x^* - x_{k-1}) + t_2(x_k - x^*)] - [m_k(x_k - x_{k-1})] \\ &= (t_1 - m_k)(x^* - x_{k-1}) + (t_2 - m_k)(x_k - x^*) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $L(f, P^*) \geq L(f, P)$ . If  $Q$  contains  $r$  more points than  $P$ , then we apply the above argument  $r$  times. Hence,

$$L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q).$$

A similar argument shows that  $U(f, Q) \leq U(f, P)$ . □

**Corollary 2.4.** Suppose that  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded. If  $P$  and  $Q$  are partitions of  $[a, b]$ , then  $L(f, P) \leq U(f, Q)$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $P \cup Q$  is a refinement of both  $P$  and  $Q$ . Therefore, the previous theorem implies that

$$L(f, P) \leq L(f, P \cup Q) \leq U(f, P \cup Q) \leq U(f, Q).$$

□

## 2.2 Examples

*Example 2.5.* Define  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \end{cases}$$

Let  $P$  be any partition of  $[0, 1]$ . Then,

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \Delta x_i = (1 - 0) = 1$$

and

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n 0 \Delta x_i = 0.$$

*Example 2.6.* Define  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = c$ , for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Let  $P$  be any partition of  $[a, b]$ . Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c \Delta x_i = c(b - a)$$

and

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c \Delta x_i = c(b - a).$$

## 3 Exercises

## References

- [1] J. LEBL, *Basic Analysis: Introduction to Real Analysis*, Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike, 6th ed., 2023.