

# Real Analysis

Thomas R. Cameron

August 30, 2023

## 1 Daily Quiz

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. Prove that

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C).$$

## 2 Key Topics

Today we introduce ordered pairs and Cartesian products which we use to define relations. For further reading, see [1, Chapter 11].

### 2.1 Cartesian Products

**Definition 2.1.** The *ordered pair*  $(a, b)$  is an ordered set of two elements where

$$(a, b) = (c, d) \Leftrightarrow a = c \wedge b = d.$$

The following theorem shows that we can define an ordered pair as a set of sets.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let*

$$(a, b) = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}.$$

*Then,  $(a, b) = (c, d)$  if and only if  $a = c$  and  $b = d$ .*

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. The *Cartesian product* of  $A$  and  $B$ , written  $A \times B$ , is defined by

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) : a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$$

*Example 2.4.* Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $B = \{a, b\}$ . Then,

$$A \times B = \{(1, a), (1, b), (2, a), (2, b), (3, a), (3, b)\}.$$

*Example 2.5.* Let  $A = [1, 4)$  and  $B = (2, 4]$ . Then,  $A \times B = \{(x, y) : 1 \leq x < 4 \wedge 2 < y \leq 4\}$ .

### 2.2 Relations

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets. A *relation* between  $A$  and  $B$  is any subset  $R \subseteq A \times B$ . We say that  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  are *related* by  $R$  if  $(a, b) \in R$ , which we often denote by  $aRb$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $S$  be a set. A relation  $R \subseteq S \times S$  on  $S$  is an *equivalence relation* if  $\forall x, y, z \in S$  the following properties hold:

- a.  $xRx$  (reflexive property),
- b.  $xRy \Rightarrow yRx$  (symmetric property),
- c.  $(xRy \wedge yRz) \Rightarrow xRz$  (transitive property).

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the *congruence modulo  $n$*  relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  as follows

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

if  $n|(a - b)$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, the congruence modulo  $n$  relation is an equivalence relation.

Given an equivalence relation  $R$  on a set  $S$ , it is natural to group together all the elements that are related to a particular element. More precisely, we define the *equivalence class* (with respect to  $R$ ) of  $x \in S$  as

$$E_x = \{y \in S : yRx\}.$$

*Example 2.10.* Let  $R$  denote the congruence modulo 2 relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : yR0\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : 2|(y - 0)\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \ni y = 2k\} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$E_1 = \{y \in \mathbb{Z} : \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \ni y = 2k + 1\}.$$

Hence, the equivalence classes  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  are the set of even and odd integers, respectively.

**Definition 2.11.** A *partition* of a set  $S$  is a set  $\mathcal{P}$  of non-empty subsets of  $S$  such that

- a.  $\forall x \in S, \exists A \in \mathcal{P} \ni x \in A$
- b.  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{P}, A \neq B \Rightarrow A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Any member of the set  $\mathcal{P}$  is called a *piece* of the partition.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $S$ . Then,  $\{E_x : x \in S\}$  is a partition of  $S$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a partition of  $S$ , let  $R$  be defined by  $xRy$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are in the same piece of the partition. Then,  $R$  is an equivalence relation and the corresponding partition into equivalence classes is the same as  $\mathcal{P}$ .

### 3 Exercises

- I. Prove Theorem 2.9.
- II. Prove Theorem 2.12.
- III. Use the Euclid division lemma and Theorem 2.12 to prove that

$$\mathcal{P} = \{E_0, E_1\}$$

is a partition of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  are the equivalence classes from Example 2.10.

### References

- [1] R. HAMMACK, *Book of Proof*, Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivative, 3rd ed., 2018.