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## 1 Key Topics

Today, we complete our proof that a connected graph of order $n$ is a tree if and only if that graph has $(n-1)$ edges. Then, we introduce the concept of an Eulerian trail and circuit.

Recall the following results.
Theorem 1.1. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a tree with order $n \geq 1$. Then, $|E|=n-1$.
Theorem 1.2. A graph has a spanning tree if and only if it is connected.
Now, we will prove our main result regarding the size of a tree.
Corollary 1.3. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 1$. Then, $G$ is a tree if and only if $|E|=n-1$.

Proof. The first direction follows from Theorem 1.1. For the converse, suppose that $|E|=n-1$. Since $G$ is connected, Theorem 1.2 implies that $G$ has a spanning tree, which we denote by $T$. Now, we have

$$
|E(T)|=|V(T)|-1=|V(G)|-1=|E(G)|
$$

Since $E(T) \subseteq E(G)$, it follows that $T=G$; hence, $G$ is a tree.

### 1.1 Eulerian Trails

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph and let $W$ be a trail in $G$; recall, a trail is a walk that does not repeat an edge. If $W$ uses every edge exactly once, then we say that $W$ is an Eulerian trail If, in addition, the trail starts and ends at the same vertex, then we say that $W$ is an Eulerian circuit (or Eulerian tour). Finally, if $G$ has an Eulerian circuit, then we say that $G$ is an Eulerian graph.

We now consider the question, which graphs are Eulerian? For example, see Figure 1 .


Figure 1: Which of these graphs are Eulerian?
It is often useful to start by considering necessary conditions. For instance:

- If $G$ is Eulerian, then $G$ has at most one non-trivial component.
- If $G$ is Eulerian, then $G$ does not contain any leafs.
- If $G$ is Eulerian and of order $n \geq 3$, then $G$ is not a tree.

The above necessary conditions imply that when characterizing Eulerian graphs we need only consider connected graphs; moreover, cycles will play an important role. Below is the main result.

Theorem 1.4. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
a. $G$ is Eulerian.
b. Every vertex of $G$ has an even degree.
c. The edges of $G$ can be partitioned into (edge-disjoint) cycles.

Proof.
$a \Rightarrow b$ Suppose that $G$ is Eulerian and let $W$ denote an Eulerian circuit in $G$. If $|V|=1$, then the result is trivial since the only vertex of $G$ has degree 0 . Suppose that $|V| \geq 3$. Since $G$ is connected, every vertex of $G$ must be in $W$. Let $v \in V$ and let $k$ denote the number of times $v$ appears in $W$. Then, there are $2 k$ edges incident on $v$ that are traversed by $W$. Since $W$ traverses every edge of $G$ exactly once, it follows that $d(v)=2 k$.
$b \Rightarrow c$ Suppose that every vertex of $G$ has an even degree. Again, the result is trivial if $|V|=1$. Suppose that $|V| \geq 3$. Since $G$ is not a tree, it follows that $G$ has at least once cycle. We proceed via strong induction on the number of cycles in $G$, which we denote by $k$. The base case $k=1$, is clear since $G$ is $C_{n}$. Fix $k \geq 1$ and suppose that $b \Rightarrow c$ holds for all connected graphs with at most $k$ cycles. Let $G$ have $(k+1)$ cycles. Let $C$ denote one cycle of $G$ and let $G^{\prime}$ denote the subgraph obtained from $G$ by deleting all the edges of $C$. Since we are deleting the edges of a cycle, the degree of each vertex in that cycle is reduced by 2 ; hence, every vertex of $G^{\prime}$ has an even degree. Therefore, every vertex of $G^{\prime}$ has an even degree and the components of $G^{\prime}$ have no more than $k$ cycles each. By the induction hypothesis, each component of $G^{\prime}$ can be partitioned into (edge-disjoint) cycles. This partition combined with $C$ forms an edge-disjoint partition of cycles for $G$.
$c \Rightarrow a$ Suppose that the edges of $G$ can be partitioned into disjoint cycles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k}$. Let $C$ denote a circuit on $G$ of maximum length such that

$$
E(C)=E\left(C_{j_{1}}\right) \cup E\left(C_{j_{2}}\right) \cup \cdots \cup E\left(C_{j_{m}}\right)
$$

for some collection of cycles $C_{j_{1}}, \ldots, C_{j_{m}}$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose there is an edge of $G$ that is not in $C$. Then, since $G$ is connected, there is an edge $e$ that is not an edge of $C$ and is incident with a vertex $v$ in $C$. Furthermore, $e$ must be an edge of a cycle $C_{i}$, for some $i$, where no edge of $C_{i}$ is in $C$. Construct $C^{\prime}$ by patching $C_{i}$ into $C$ at the vertex $v$. Then, $C^{\prime}$ is a circuit of $G$ with a larger length of $C$, which contradicts the maximality of $C$.

